The City Council of Willard City met on January 26, 2023, at 6:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers of the Willard City Offices, Located at 80 West 50 South, Willard UT 84340.

**Present:** Travis Mote, Mayor

 Fred Ward, Council Member

 John Seamons, Council Member

 Mike Braegger, Council Member

 Rod Mund, Council Member

 Kaleb Kunzler, Council Member

**Staff Present:** Chris Davis, City Manager

 Colt Mund, City Attorney

 Theron Fielding, Police Chief

 Bryce Wheelwright, City Planner

 Payden Vine, Public Works Supervisor

 Van Mund, Willard City Fire Chief

 Susan K Obray, City Recorder

**Others in Attendance:** Tony Wells, Diana Baker, Doug Younger, Mary Younger,Clyde Westley, Chad Braegger, Ken Ormond, Ruth Ormond, Jean Loveland, Angela Silvester, Keith Lammert, Rew Wiley, Larry Holmes, Lindsey Wells, Travis Wells, Jimmy Wells, Jim Flint, and Gene Stevens.

**1.** **Call to Order**

Mayor Travis Mote, Council Member Kaleb Kunzler, Council Member John Seamons, Council Member Mike Braegger, Council Member Rod Mund, and Council Member Fred Ward.

 **a**. **Invocation**- Council Member Kaleb Kunzler

 **b**. **Pledge of Allegiance**-Council Member Fred Ward

 **c.** **Declaration-** None

 **2**. **Open Period Comment**

There were no comments.

**3**. **New Business**

**a**.  **Representative Matt Gwynn regarding the 2023 Utah Legislative session.**

Representative Matt Gwynn was unable to attend the meeting this week. He will attend the meeting on February 9, 2023.

**b.** **Discussion with Clyde Westley (15 minutes)**

My main concern is the area on the mountain to our east, that has not yet been excavated, this includes two properties owned by a gravel company at Cooks Canyon. It was said that this council had considered the fact that opening a gravel pit (on city owned property) may encourage development along Willard’s east boundaries. That consideration has never been explained.

Council Member Seamon explained that it was in the last meeting minutes. He said what the minutes say is it is their private property and there is not a lot that can be done about it. There are some things that we can do. There are some changes in the County that will affect how gravel pits are going to be handled in the future, including zoning. He said it might provide an opportunity for Willard to have a bigger input on what the gravel pits do in the future.

 What thought or effort has the city taken, to explore, or encourage any preservation of our east boundary?

 Council Member Seamons stated the preservation of reclamation process.

 Mr. Westley stated it is just the same that would apply to private property.

Council Member Seamons explained with the process that we are going through with the Well’s family, the Granite people, and Willard City. He stated they intend to make something happen that will have enough teeth that we can ask for a reclamation process and bonds that covers that for everybody along the east bench of Willard.

Some facts I would like to point out, you can correct me if I am wrong. Willard has served Box Elder County well for generations with mountain materials and gravel, for Willard Bay, I-15, and many other projects.

Mayor Mote said, your point is Willard has given enough as a community forum, our natural resources.

Mr. Westley continued “BOX ELDER TOGETHER” is the vision of our County’s General Plan. “They invest in their towns and cities that have served them well for generations.” They encourage new growth. They will preserve and protect our agricultural and natural lands that surround our cities and support our rural atmosphere.

The county is also looking to make a trails coordinator position, this would make a common contact point for all the trail coordination in our county. In October, Gov. Cox announced that his administration wants to create a statewide trail system. Connecting all trails in the Beehive State. If our city re-zones this area **now**, it is showing little faith in the changes I have mentioned...”*.*

The Bonniville Shoreline Trail is a thread of hope which is growing. This city should become a small part of this big deal. Help the county make this trail a reality, “On its original level” where that is still possible.

The gravel owned by Willard cannot be accessed (in the Wells pit) for at least 10 years. Why not allow that time, and join with our county, our state, and possibly the Federal Government to build some protection for our eastern boundary; rather than allow it to become one continuous gravel pit.

Today I spoke with Daniel Olsen from the Division of Wildlife Resources. I told him about this area, and it is the type of area they are interested in preserving. However, protecting it would take time. First and foremost, “Is the gravel pit company willing to sell”? They would have to find that out first. Then there are 4 or 5 priority areas being worked on now, (this would have to fit in). I asked if it was possible to happen within 10 years?

He answered, “Yes, that is possible.”

I am asking each of you as individuals to, “answer this to yourself” right now:

 Do you think if Willard City is selling gravel to a gravel pit company, could that change negations for the State -and/or- Federal Government with another pit owner? I am not looking for an answer here, I just wanted you to think about that individually….

Willard held an Open House in 20**21** for their New General Plan. The Citizens listed a “rural community feel” as a strength, “gravel pits” were identified as a weakness. The Citizens wished to preserve a “rural atmosphere” and wished to eliminate gravel pits. It seems that our city fathers and their management are getting further out of touch with these people.

I was told by one council member that: The problem comes down to dealing with people. In business, we may just have to decide. I won’t argue that point, but I would like to know what business this city is in?

**I have requested an Official Action:**

*Before submitting any rezone requests, conduct a Public Survey of Willard Citizens to see how they feel about selling the city owned gravel as proposed. Transparency in gathering and counting votes is important.*

I am aware of a concern, which is to provide water storage for developments at the north end of Willard.

However, Willard’s current general plan clearly states: Require each development to pay all costs of the impacts created by, or public facilities required for, the development.” In review of the recent draft (of our new general plan) I did not see any wording as clearly stated as this was in the existing general plan.

**I have a second Official Action request**:

*Review the proposed Willard City general plan, (DRAFT) and verify that: Developers are to pay all costs of development, and that it is as clearly stated there as it is in our existing general plan.*

A few weeks ago, I spoke with Dr. Bruce Parker, one of the consultants revising our general plan. I had a question about wording on gravel pits. He indicated that from the results of the survey, (in 2021) he thought it should be worded that there should be: “No gravel pit involvement on any city owned property.” I could not have said it better myself.

**I have a third Official Action Request:**

*Since public input showed that Willard Citizens listed a rural community feel as a strength, and gravel pits as a weakness, also wished to preserve a rural atmosphere, and eliminate gravel pits. Wording should be added to the new general plan that there should be no gravel pit involvement on any city owned property.*

I want you to understand that I still appreciate your service to this city as an individual. Although I do not agree with everything you do. I try to “Agree to Disagree” where I can, life is too short to do otherwise. Mr. Westley asked if there were any questions for him from the council.

Council Member Ward asked in pertaining to the land that Willard City owns that is landlocked around the gravel pit, what would be your proposal to do with that?

Mr. Westley responded by saying I'm not really telling you what to do with that. What I am asking is to wait 10 years until that is available to mine so that if the city in 10 years wants to mine it and get the money for it, they'll get a better more conscientious price at that time. It will be easier to see. And as far as what the mountain looks like, I have talked to granite. And Brad Sweet told me his vision of reclamation, which would be clear off all the dirt and there won't be any more dust. But I pointed out okay, are you guys going to take brooms up there and sweep all that dust out of there? He says no, we're going to go out there with bulldozers and clean it off. Okay, when that's done, there still will be a lot of dust up there to be taken care of by nature. I can see his vision. But he says there will not be any dust. Well, there will be only two or three depending on the wind. A lot depends on the future. And the gravel pits say the same thing. We don't know how much we can sell. There's a lot said, that isn't really known.

Council Member Ward said he was hoping for some kind of response from Mr. Westley because that piece of property is landlocked around the gravel pit.

Mr. Westley responded with it's your property, you've got your general plan to work with. It should be within your general plan. But the fact is, your general plan is just a guideline. You guys can really do what you want outside of that. But I would say, in 10 years, given the state, the federal government and other organizations who would be involved time to work on this throughout, to do something to protect the two sections that aren't yet excavated, then, I mean, the intent if they haven't got that done in 10 years, it's just not going to happen. You know, he said, it's possible in 10 years. I'm taking his word for that. And if it's not done in 10 years, it's not going to matter. And then they'll be ready to mine that gravel, if the city wants to sell it to them, fine. That's not going to delay anything, the only change is the time. And I know that it's an issue for this council. But it should not be. Because this council should have been prepared around whatever's happening that grew up or should have been prepared for whatever should have been prepared for what whatever, you know, you talk about water tanks.

Councilmember Mund stated that is a whole different subject.

Mr. Westley said he was told that was the reason for selling the gravel.

Mayor Mote said that was part of the package that was discussed.

Councilmember Mund explained there hasn’t been one single thing done on this project or moving forward with anything. He said if you look at the minutes from the last meeting there are some concerns about whether the city was to rezone that property and we may be opening a door for other situations like this, the council is concerned about this. Council Member Mund emphasized we must have time. It has been the holidays and we are not going to rush into anything right now. The County has a moratorium, and this will give the council time to reflect on it. He established the city is concerned about setting a precedent and creating problems for the city.

Mr. Westley stated Brad Sweet is a great guy and he believes what he tells him is the truth, and he respects him for that.

Council Member Mund said we will have other public hearings on this, and it gives us some time to see if this is something that we are going to pursue with new developments.

Council Member Seamons asked how many people responded to the last survey.

Mr. Westley reported he thought it was around 300, it was a good turnout.

Council Member Seamons asked who is going to pay for this survey.

Mr. Westley understood that there does not need to be another survey. He said Dr. Parker stated the first survey tells what the people want.

Council Member Seamons asked where it says that the city wants to eliminate gravel pits.

Mr. Westley said it was drawn from the Matrix.

Councilmember Seamons said it was drawn from the people who wrote on the map. He stated it was four or five people.

Councilmember Mund informed Mr. Westley that this is a republic democracy. This is not like Poland, to be where to decide, they'd have to get every single person in the country and have a vote, every time they decided, we were elected to represent the citizens in this community. Your ticket to punch us out of our position is when the election comes, if you don't like what we're doing, or what our thoughts are about the good of the community. We're entrusted here to sit in a position where we must look towards the future of this community, which is a little different than just being a common citizen out there and not having all the information. Some of these things sound terrible when the way you make them sound. But when you look at the whole picture of what's going on around us, and the best information that we have, we disagree on certain issues, from time to time. But to have a little survey on every single issue that comes down the pike. We've already conducted one, as you said, we should have some latitude to make some decisions right here.

Mr. Westley thanked the Mayor and Council for the time he had to discuss his thoughts.

3c**. Award Surplus bid winners**

Chris Davis, City Manager, reported there were not many bids received. The most popular was the 4-wheeler, the highest bid was for $2,251. Payden Vine, Public Works Supervisor said they had the carburetor cleaned and said it runs well. Chris continued and said they got a small bid on the bucket truck, a small bid on the Durango and a bid on the old black dump truck. Those were the only bids received.

Doug Younger asked where they were posted. Susan Obray said they were posted on the Willard City website. Mr. Younger said he could not find them anywhere. Mayor Mote said we need to do a better job advertising by putting it on Facebook and putting it at the post office. Mayor Mote asked to have it put in the February newsletter. Councilmember Ward asked to put it in the Box Elder News Journal and The Leader out in Tremonton. Diana Baker asked about advertising in KSL. Mayor Mote stated it becomes more difficult when putting in KSL. Mayor Mote suggested extending it 30 more days until March 1, 2023.

**Motion:** Councilmember Kunzler moved to extend the bids until March 1, 2023. Councilmember Seamons seconded the motion. Motion carried.

**3d.** **Approve Ordinance 2023-01 to annex property into Willard City which has already been approved for annexation by the Box Elder County Commission.**

**Motion:** Councilmember Ward moved to approve Ordinance 2023-01 the annexation of the Cole property. Councilmember Mund seconded the motion. Roll call vote.

Councilmember Kunzler Yes Councilmember Mund Yes

Councilmember Braegger Yes Councilmember Seamons Yes

Councilmember Ward Yes

Motion passed unanimously.

**3e.** **Discussion regarding the employee evaluation software.**

Chris Davis Willard City Manager informed the Mayor and Council they have had the employee evaluation information in their packet for 2 weeks and asked if there were any concerns.

Council Member Ward stated what was in the packet and had some suggestions to put into that. What was in the packet for us to review as far as each section as far as evaluating the individuals, it come across to me as one glove fits all. It really doesn't identify where the employee can fill out what their yearly goals are and what they're going to do. And that is important. If we have a job description, which I think should be the first thing on the agenda, they get the job descriptions in order. You can have the evaluation filled out properly. And then as far as each evaluation question goes, I'm not a fan of the check box. I think you put it in writing, this person has exceeded their expectations in this part of this question, and the reason why they have. And if they haven't exceeded their expectations or even met their expectations, then you need to identify that you have met with that employee and what you're doing to correct the issue. He concluded as a council member to discourage adopting this format.

Chris Davis said the format's the availability, and it's open there so that employees can put in, it's set up that way.

Council Member Ward stated he would like to see the employee and the employer. Have a head-to-head agreement the first each year this is what the goals are and the expectations to this is what you need to do to meet your standard expectations. I don't know how you're going to grade attendance here. Are you going to work on time?

Mayor Mote said we do have job descriptions for every job in the city. He continued with we've increased at least one position since I've been here. But I would assume it'd be pretty similar to the other public works positions. I agree with Fred. I don't mind the checkbox component; I think there should be a note at the bottom and note spot. I do agree with the goals as I think the supervisor, so each department head and their staff should have that annual meeting, and then maybe a semiannual meeting for performance evaluation. And I think there should be some goals in there that need to be measurable, achievable. Has anyone heard of the SMART goals. If we have the budget, there should be a bonus incentive situation. If you're exceeding if you and your supervisor sat down, and we have these goals. And maybe the bonus isn't monetary, maybe it's a time off award or something like that, but I do agree with Fred that there needs to be some measurable, achievable goals and expectations as part of that. Then when you sit down at the end of the year, and mid-year and say, okay, well how are we doing on these goals? Or did we achieve or not achieve the goals and if we didn't achieve the goals, if somebody really didn't achieve them, then maybe there was a corrective action plan involved. If they exceeded them, there should be a Maddox gift certificate or some incentive.

Council Member Ward stated I was a boss working for a private contractor for almost 30 years. The hardest thing for me to do as a boss is when you have a good worker and they are to work on time, they're doing their job they go above once in a while. The hardest thing to do as a boss is sit there and go yeah, all they did was meet their expectations. Because to stick a person into the superior categories you'd like to do technically, if you look at it, you really can't it's very few that reach that category. It's still the same double-edged sword you sit on for a person that's not meeting those expectations. Of course, you have to have documentation throughout the year that they're not meeting their goals. Or you have problems.

Mayor Mote stated he is not terribly worried about the time clock. I'm more worried about us achieving our goals and maintaining city functions.

Council Member Seamons asked if there was a process to keep track of the interviews when they are done.

Chris Davis stated that is part of the software.

Council Member Braegger agreed that goals are good. He felt they were over-complicated things. He continued to say this is a concise, simple thing for the employees to fill out, and a place for them to make comments. Also, for the manager, whoever the manager is to do the same. And then they can sit down together and hash through these things, look at the good things and the bad. And what do we need to work on? And that is where you set some goals. But where do you write them down? How do you track them? Those are some difficult things in the way that the city works. This is a good template to start.

Mayor Mote stated he would put a note section at each line with additional comments.

Council Member Ward said he would like to see in writing why the employee checked that box. He continued to say he wants to see where the employee actually writes something down.

Mayor Mote said it protects the employees. The way it is laid out is very subjective between the supervisor and employee. It needs to be written down at the time of the evaluation.

Council Member Mund said you can write it at the bottom of the page or have a goal sheet and as you go through the year you can look at your goals and see where you’re at. We need to have something other than just circle something we can go back and look at and then as far as counsel if we want to look at the employee performance, and we weren’t there when those interviews were conducted at least we can see what their performance outcome was.

**3f.** **Review of the lighting ordinance for commercial and residential developments.**

Mayor Mote stated the council had talked about amending the lighting ordinance to meet the dark skies. He talked with Bryce Wheelwright and Chris regarding the light meter and there was some confusion. His intent for the light meter is to get a meter that would be enforceable in court. The city would have to get an expensive light meter. His attempt with the beam is that it is in our ordinance we need to know we are somewhere in the vicinity. I don't think we need one that gets certified. We have existing new buildings that were built under that ordinance and appear maybe to be a problem. If the light meter says we're a mile off, then we're probably a mile off. We should address that situation. He suggested looking at our subdivision ordinance and particularly at these commercial buildings that have a lot of light.

Council Member Mund suggested where they interface with neighborhoods, I think we need to be particularly careful, because that's where we get a lot of complaints from. It really needs wherever we are interfacing with neighborhoods, we need to be cognitive of the fact what goes for commercial isn't going to necessarily make a homeowner happy right next door.

Council Member Ward said he is a fan of businesses where they have lighting, and they position that lighting to where it reflects on the business. Just like it would reflect into the street, to where it keeps it out of the neighborhood to where it doesn't shine in people's backyards and their windows.

Bryce Wheelwright talked with Zack Burke as part of our engineering and there isn’t anything clarified yet. It sounds like we may want to go stricter in some situations because it sounds like in some places the city already meets the dark skies. This agenda item will be put on the next meeting’s agenda.

**3g.** **Discussion of Resolution adopting a policy regarding relief to be granted to residents who have larger water bills during a leak at their property.**

Mayor Mote felt when we have somebody coming in town that is going to remodel and improve property within the city. And they asked for the water to be shut off. We should not be charging them a water bill.

Guy Kruger came to the city office and asked for his water meter to be shut off. He paid $600 water bill for that period. Then he was charged $200 more when he didn't even have the water meter on, is the way I understand it. He had an outstanding bill when he had requested it to be shut off while he was doing this remodeling job.

Council Member Kunzler stated it’s not just that he requested is meter off, it was under a different ownership. It took two weeks for the city to do the final reading. There is that two-week period that he was billed for, because that's when they did the final meter read. It was not even his water usage because he had already squared his account with the office staff.

Mayor Mote felt there should be some room in the policy that allows for someone to renovate a dilapidated building and not be charged a water bill. If we are going to have someone in town that is going to improve the situation and have a business that will hopefully benefit the city.

Council Member Kunzler stated the final meter reading should be quicker than two weeks on a change of ownership.

Mayor Mote said the city shuts the meter off to do construction or remodel job that will take an extended period. He felt they should not be billed.

Council Member Ward stated if the city shuts the water off at the owners’ request, they still should receive a monthly bill. There is still a tax to the process. The city should still get the $25 a month payment regardless.

Council Member Kunzler stated we are still providing water to them for a potential fire. A $25 base is really to provide infrastructure that they may not directly use if they do not have a tap, but it is still there for their use for fire suppression and other things. Even though they do not get a drop out of their actual faucet.

Council Member Braegger explained you have one or two things in place, either you have to go one with their bill or you have a steep reconnection fee for when the city comes out and turns the valve back on. He was considering $300 as the reconnection fee. If the owner does not want to pay the $25 a month then we shut it off and charge them the reconnection fee. The reconnection fee needs to be something substantial.

Council Member Ward used an example of if the water was shut down for two months, they would pay $50 to leave the meter on. Then they would pay a small fee of $25 to turn it back on.

Council Member Mund stated when the city shuts the water off there should be a lock put on the meter. There have been a lot of times that people have put jumpers on.

Mayor Mote stated he is not going to worry about a change of policy on this specific incident. It appears he paid well over half of the bill. We do have the flexibility to waive his water bill.

**5a**. **Approval of the December 8, 2022, meeting minutes.**

**Motion:** Council Member Ward moved to approve the minutes with corrections. Council Member Braegger seconded the motion. Motion carried.

**6.** **Financials**

The payment approval was passed around and signed by the Mayor and Council Members.

**7. Department Reports**

**Public Works**

Payden Vine, Public Works stated they had to put a stop start on the 95 well. A stop start is when it ramps up in a short amount of time to full speed and saves on your demand fee with the bottom line. Council Member Mund said you cannot turn it back down to a lower RPM it just ramps up. Mayor Mote thanks Payden and his guys for the four o’clock morning when he has text for them to come out and snow plow. He appreciates the extra hours.

**Police Department.**

Jordan Harper is a couple of weeks away for finishing his FTO program. Norma Conkel is two and half weeks from finishing the academy and then she will start into the FTO program with Officer Bell. When she is down with FTO the police department will be back up to four full time officers. Mayor Mote said in a meeting he attended recently they talked about all the break in at city buildings, and they have a suspect. Chief Fielding said he has not heard of a suspect with the break-in we had here at our city building.

**Fire Department**

Chief Van Mund stated about 5 years ago the city started with active 911 on our phones that supplemented where out pagers when they go off. He said we get alerts on our phones sometimes before, sometimes at the same time, and sometimes later. When the pagers go off it gives more details. We were looking to change to active 911 which is $15 per phone a year. He said he has looked at I-Spy fire which is close to the same program, but better. It is a flat fee of $500 a year. He said it gives all the units times the calls are more detailed than on the Active 911 program. We are switching and are on a 45-day trial and then we will be paying $500 once a year no matter how many devices we have. He said the I Spy is more reliable getting the mutual aid calls to us that eliminates us having to call dispatch for times we can just pull that up on the app on the phone. On our fire command if we have any questions on time that we've called in on different things, we can pull those up . You know instead of cluttering up some of the radio traffic or other things when there's multiple calls. Chief Mund stated the I Spy trial has been successful so far.

 Mayor Mote stated there is a meeting on February 13th at noon with Brigham City Mayor DJ Bott regarding a special service district fire department. Mayor Bott is having a group do a study. Mayor Mote asked Van to be there and a couple of council members. He continued to say He told the Mayor that Willard has a very good fire system, and we could not do it any cheaper. We have to interact with that fire department so we should attend the meeting to hear what they have to say.

**8**. **Council Members Reports**

**a. Mike Braegger**

He is following up with the Hargis Hill project. He has been trying to get a hold of the gentlemen that work for Nilson Homes. Bryce Wheelwright has his contact number.

**b. Rod Mund**

He asked how the water meter change out is going. Payden said they cannot change them out the ground is frozen. They have 360 meters in the shop ready to change out in the Spring.

**c. Fred Ward**

He wants to talk about the vehicle use policy. This will be on the next meeting’s agenda.

**d. John Seamons**

Council member Seamons wanted an update on the research the annexation policy declaration, research the rights the current property owners in Box Elder County adjacent to the city have, do they need to come for a zone request, research the ordinances that are out there to give us an idea of what we want to say, in our overlay ordinances, that addresses aggregate extraction.

Chris said they had a meeting last week on the ordinance and they are still working on it.

Colt Mund, City Attorney said he is in contact with a land use specialist that used to be a property rights ombudsman in Salt Lake. He is asking for his help in shaping the ordinance that will help protect the city. He said he will follow up on that.

**e. Kaleb Kunzler**

Nothing to report.

**9. Next Agenda Items**

**February 9, 2023**

1. Vehicle Policy
2. Cami Moss-Year end budget

**3.** Chuck Palmer-2022 Audit

**February 23, 2023**

1. lighting Ordinance
2. Petroglyphs Rock with plaque

**10. Mayors General Correspondence and Information.**

Mayor Mote stated the rock with the petroglyphs is at the city shop. He said he met up with some northwest Shoshone Indians who would have resided in this area. He asked them their thoughts and even offered them the rock. He wants to do something that would honor and respect the Indian people and their ancestors. He said they were not pleased that it was moved from the place it was at. Mayor Mote stated they have met as a tribal council, and they would like the rock to stay as close to Willard as possible. He said they talked about moving it to the historic cemetery. They would like to put a plaque on it. He asked the council their thoughts. All the council members agreed to have it go to the historic cemetery. He hopes that people will be respectful of the rock. They would like to have some kind of ribbon cutting. They would like to attend the February 23, 2023, meeting.

We have plenty of sandbags in case of flooding.

 Mayor Mote said he sent out the emergency prep plan to the city staff and asked if anyone had taken the chance to make comments and asked if we are ready to move it forward. Mark Millett County Emergency Management person read the plan and would like to meet with the Mayor and Larry Holmes and go over the plan.

March 1st proposals are due for corridor preservation funds there is an opportunity if we want to do a transportation plan. In order to have impact fees funded you have to have a transportation plan and felt it would be good to add trails. Mayor asked if the council wanted to put a proposal in. They all agreed.

There have been four turkeys caught by Jean Loveland.

Work session Monday, February 20th 11:00 a.m. invite the Planning Commission regarding the General Plan. The Mayor stated the General Plan also has to have a water plan with it by 2025. The Mayor would also like to invite Mitch Zundel.

**Planning Commission Report.**

**The items that were discussed in Planning Commission.**

 Preliminary ADU Ordinance, Amendment to the Conditional Use permit has been worked by the City Attorney and a member of the Planning Commission, HOPS Trail review, Hwy 89 starts this summer, Kunzler Property, Dr. Beards development, the sports shop decided it is not going to work. Bischoffs opens in April.

**11**. **City Managers Report**

He encouraged the Mayor & Council to get an ID card from Lisa at the police department.

Mr. Stevens and Jim Flint with Hansen & Associates were at the meeting for a follow-up regarding the possible sewer connection. Chris wanted to get something back from Chris Breinholdt. Chris Breinholdt said” In my opinion the proposed sewer line would be a benefit to Willard City however, the benefit is limited. If allowed the sewer flows in Perry should be restricted to a specific number of residential connections, I recommend charging Perry City per unit basis residential connections”. Chris Davis stated Chris Breinholdt said Mr. Stevens would not need a master meter. Chris Davis didn’t think Chris Breinholdt was aware that Willard and Perry go off a percentage basis. Chris stated Willard needs to know how much flow is coming in from Perry. We will continue to proceed to get a more definitive proposal with what would be involved.

Mayor Mote stated Willard will have two sewer lines on 200 west. The shallow one and the 2 ½ to 4 ½ foot deep one. He asked if we were going to do something like this why wouldn’t the city push this line west so that it would service more area in the future.

 Mr. Flint stated they diligently tried to do it that way but there were landowners who would not cooperate. Chris Davis stated that they have tried 7 or 8 different landowners, some in Perry and some in Willard. He said this is the most workable situation. Mayor Mote stated there are some benefits to the developer and Perry City running it this way but felt Willard City doesn’t necessarily want it this way. We are running a line that we will have to maintain that has very limit service ability to future Willard growth in that same area. Mayor Mote asked for more information from Chris Breinholdt regarding benefits to Willard.

Chris reminded the Mayor and Council to be working on their Auditor training.

Lead and Copper grant $100,000 is being worked on.

Senator Sandle has a bill in the legislature that would change the point of sale for gravel. That could be beneficial to the city. He will keep them updated.

**12**. **Adjournment
Motion:** Council Member Kunzler moved to adjourn. Council Member Seamons seconded the motion. Motion carried.